

### REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The claims are 1-12.

The above amendment is responsive to points set forth in the Final Rejection.

With regard to the rejection in Official Action paragraph 2 regarding the term "kinds of", such term no longer appears but instead, "kinds of" has been replaced by "different".

With regard to the rejection of the term "into a cavity" in claims 11 and 12, this has been clarified by the above amendment. Thus it is clear that the molding resin is injected into the cavity.

With regard to Official Action paragraph 3, the rejection contends that the term "formed by extrusion" introduced in claims 1-3 constitutes new matter. In reply, the term "formed by extrusion" appears at page 33, lines 5-12 of the present specification. Thus it is clear that the term in issue does not constitute new matter.

Similar comments are applicable with regard to the rejection in Official Action paragraph 6.

With regard to the rejection in Official Action paragraph 7, the above terminology at page 33, lines 5-12 provides enablement for the formation of the film formed by extrusion.

With regard to Official Action paragraph 8, claim 2 has been clarified by the above amendment and antecedent basis is present for the terminology in issue.

Additional comments will follow in the near future responsive to the rejections on prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

Fujio MORI

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED  
TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE  
FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT  
ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

By: Matthew M. Jacob  
Matthew M. Jacob  
Registration No. 25,154  
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/kes  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
March 8, 2004